1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Acting United States Attorney	
2 3	IOANA PETROU (CABN 170834) JONATHAN D. SCHMIDT (CABN 230646) Assistant United States Attorneys	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7189 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov SONDRA L. MILLS (DCBN 367463) ALLAN GORDUS (MOBN 48210) Trial Attorneys DOJ Office of Consumer Litigation Liberty Square Building 450 5th Street, NW, Sixth Floor South Telephone: (202) 616-2375 FAX: (202) 514-8742	
13	sondra.mills@usdoj.gov allan.gordus@usdoj.gov	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. W. SCOTT HARKONEN, Defendant. On April 17, 2008, the parties in this case	CR No. 08-0164 MHP STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME appeared before the Honorable Magistrate arkonen's initial appearance and stipulated that
26 27 28	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial A	ect calculations from April 17, 2008 to May 5,

1	Judge Marilyn H. Patel. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for	
2	effective preparation of counsel given the complexity of the case and nature of the prosecution,	
3	taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
4	SO STIPULATED:	
5	BRIAN J. STRETCH	
6	Acting United States Attorney	
7	DATED: Amil 17, 2009	
8	DATED: April 17, 2008 /s/ IOANA PETROU Assistant United States Atternay	
9	Assistant United States Attorney	
10	DATED: April 17, 2008 /s/	
11	WILLIAM M. GOODMAN	
12	Attorney for W. Scott Harkonen	
13	As the Court found on April 17, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 17, 2008 to May 12, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the complexity of the	
19	case and nature of the prosecution, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
20		
21		
22	SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	DATED:	
25	DATED: Honorable Joseph C. Spero Magistrate Count Judge	
26	Magistrate Court Judge	
27		
28		
J		